学位論文全文に代わる要約 Extended Summary in Lieu of Dissertation

氏名: Panawat SIKHANDAKASMITA Name

学位論文題目: Title of Dissertation Genetic improvement and protected cultivation of low-chill peach (少低温要求性モモの遺伝的改良と施設栽培)

学位論文要約: Dissertation Summary

The future chilling accumulation patterns were projected to decline both quantity and duration due to global warming. Insufficient winter chilling accumulation can detrimentally impact temperate fruit productions (Parker and Abatzoglou, 2019). Hence, the countermeasures, such as the low-chill cultivars, must be developed to prevent or mitigate the adverse effects of elevated temperatures and insufficient chilling accumulation. One of the long-term solutions for adapting and mitigating global warming threats is breeding new peach cultivars with low chilling requirements. Low-chill cultivars have high adaptability to warmer environments in subtropical regions or tropical highlands. In addition, these cultivars may be adapted to temperate climates, where the absence of frost occurs in spring (Topp et al., 2008). The low chilling requirement of these cultivars means that they flower in mid- to late winter, leading to harvest earlier than high chill peach cultivar. From this advantage, low-chill cultivars might be used to produce extreme early harvest season with higher fruit price by introducing to forcing culture. Therefore, this research focused on breeding new low-chill peach cultivars and illustrated the growing a low-chill peach cultivar in forcing culture.

1. Genetic improvement, embryo rescue, and seedling evaluation for new low-chill peach

New peach cultivars that adapt well to mild winter regions and deliver high fruit quality and good yields are crucial to sustaining peach production under climate change situations. Cruz et al. (2007) projected increases in average surface temperatures over Japan, it would increase the risk for insufficient chill accumulation in temperature fruit trees. Low-chill variety might become more extensively grown if global warming trends continue. Although low-chill cultivars are well adapted to warm conditions, they can be grown in a temperate zone where is in the absence of spring frost that destroys the flower and young leaf (Topp et al., 2008). Thereby, cultivars with low chilling requirements also provide more sustainable cultivars for future temperate fruit production in Japan. Under these conditions, the demand for low-chill varieties would rise noticeably.

The low-chill peach breeding program by Kagawa University, Japan, was initiated in 2016. The main objective of the breeding program is to develop new low-chill peach cultivars with early ripening and fruit quality traits greater or similar to our low-chill peaches 'KU-PP1' and 'KU-PP2'. Two of our low-chill peach cultivars and two advanced selections were used as parents to transfer the preferred traits to their progenies. Twelve crosses were created by combining parents ('KU-PP1', 'KU-PP2', HFP1, and Flordaglo x Hikawa Hakuho) in both open pollination and various combination, leading to a greater quantity of hybrid seedlings with superior characteristics in the next generation. Due to the high fruit prices for the early market, the low-chill genotypes are required short FDP with early fruit maturation to ensure that the fruits are harvested in the extreme early season. Thus, a short FDP is one of the major objectives in our low-chill peach breeding programs to generate low-chill peach cultivars with early ripening. Consequently, breeders must use both early ripening genotypes as male and female parents

for producing hybrid seedlings with ultra-early ripening. Thereby, seed from genotypes with a short fruit development period (FDP; less than 90 days) that is counted immature and obliged to germinate. Due to rapid flesh softening and quick fruit ripening, the embryos of this peach genotype do not have sufficient time to achieve full physiological maturity, resulting in embryo abortion. Aseptic embryo culture in a nutrient-rich environment can overcome embryo abortion and enhance embryo germinability of early ripening genotype. The appropriate embryo development stage is crucial to prevent embryo abortion resulting in better embryo germinability and seedling survival. In the same way, medium and culture conditions must be suitable for the embryo development stage and for each genotype. The very small embryos require the more specialized medium and culture techniques. For this reason, this study aimed to determine correct stage of embryo growth, suitable medium, and proper culture techniques for recovering germination and improve hybrid seedling growth and survival. Then, hybrid seedling's characteristics were also evaluated in the field. The promising low chill with early maturating seedlings were selected.

Our study showed that the germination of the immature embryo was influenced by three factors: surface sterilization, culture technique with fruit age and culture conditions. The whole fruit sterilizing with 1.2% of sodium hypochlorite with 0.05% of Tween 20 for 15 minutes was the most effective treatment to use in the initial sterilization. The ovule culture with modified SH agar-gelled medium + 0.1% activated charcoal was more suitable for the embryo of 'KU-PP1' that harvest from advanced maturity while embryo rescue with WPM medium + vermiculite was useful for culturing 'KU-PP2' embryo at fruit ripening stage. Moreover, we found that the LED light can stimulate seedling growth in terms of shoot and root length.

Under natural conditions in Kagawa, we found that nineteen selected hybrids adapted and grew very well in Kagawa prefecture, which is the mild winter region. Floral buds of most selected hybrid seedlings emerged in mid-January to mid-February. The budburst of all selected seedlings began earlier than their parents and 'Hikawa Hakuho', a commercial cultivar but they bloomed together with some parents or earlier than 'KU-PP1' and 'Hikawa Hakuho' (Table 1). In the other words, these distinct hybrids have lower chilling requirement than the parents and 'Hikawa Hakuho'. Melting flesh types in both white and yellow flesh were selected. Fruit must have high sugar content, medium size with a round to flat shape and absence of split pits (Table 2 and Table 3). Selected genotypes are planted in a 56L container to evaluate growth performance and fruit productivity prior to testing on a commercial scale. The fruit of our selected peaches is round with a flattened tip; 8 in 19 seedlings are yellow-fleshed genotypes (Table 2 and Table 3). After the second-year evaluation, we selected four hybrid peaches (17003 T5, 17004 T17, 17004 T18, and 17004 T21) as the advanced selections. 17004 T18 are better in firmness when compared with standard cultivars and other selections. There is greater durability for managing and transporting to distant markets. All these advanced selections showed outstanding characteristics in both years (2020 and 2021), and there is potential to be new cultivar candidates for lower chilling requirements, earlier ripening, or high anthocyanin peaches. These selections will be evaluated actual chilling requirements by excised shoots technique under controlled conditions in winter 2021.

Table 1 Parents and performance of selected seedlings, parents, and a commercial cultivar in Kagawa (Japan) from 2020 to 2021.

| Genotypes | Parent | Budburst date | Full bloom | First ripe date | FDP | Floral bud | Severity of leaf curl disease | |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | | date | F | (days) | density | | |
| Commercial cultivar | | | | | | | | |
| 'Hikawa Hakuho' | | 30 Mar (89 ^z) | 7 Apr (97) | 10 Jul (191) | 94 | Medium | Resistant | |
| Parents | | | | | | | | |
| 'KU-PP1' | | 20 Feb (51) | 13 Mar (72) | 08 Jun (159) | 87 | Very dense | Moderately susceptible | |
| 'KU-PP2' | | 13 Feb (44) | 12 Mar (71) | 10 Jun (161) | 90 | Very dense | Moderately susceptible | |
| HFP1 | | 20 Feb (51) | 08 Mar (67) | 10 Jun (161) | 94 | Very dense | Moderately susceptible | |
| Flordaglo × Hikawa Hakuho | | 15 Jan (15) | 03 Mar (62) | 12 Jun (163) | 101 | Medium | Moderately susceptible | |
| Selected genotypes | | | | | | | | |
| 17003 T3 | HFP1 OP | 01 Feb (32) | 12 Mar (71) | 14 Jun (165) | 94 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 17003 T5 | HFP1 OP | 01 Feb (32) | 12 Mar (71) | 08 Jun (159) | 88 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 17003 T6 | HFP1 OP | 01 Feb (32) | 12 Mar (71) | 08 Jun (159) | 88 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 17004 T5 | KU-PP1 OP | 21 Jan (21) | 06 Mar (65) | 03 Jun (154) | 89 | Medium | Average resistant | |
| 17004 T14 | KU-PP1 OP | 15 Jan (15) | 06 Mar (65) | 31 May (151) | 86 | Medium | Average resistant | |
| 17004 T17 | KU-PP1 OP | 24 Feb (55) | 18 Mar (77) | 17 Jun (168) | 91 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 17004 T18 | KU-PP1 OP | 27 Jan (27) | 06 Mar (65) | 17 Jun (168) | 103 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 17004 T21 | KU-PP1 OP | 12 Feb (43) | 12 Mar (71) | 03 Jun (154) | 83 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 17005 T4 | KU-PP1×KU-PP2 | 12 Feb (43) | 12 Mar (71) | 08 Jun (159) | 88 | Medium | Average resistant | |
| 17005 T8 | KU-PP1×KU-PP2 | 09 Feb (40) | 12 Mar (71) | 31 May (151) | 80 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 17006 T5 | KU-PP2 OP | 09 Jan (09) | 06 Mar (65) | 31 May (151) | 86 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 18003 T15 | HFP1 OP | 09 Feb (40) | 09 Mar (68) | 21 Jun (172) | 104 | Medium | Average resistant | |
| 18003 T31 | HFP1 OP | 17 Jan (17) | 09 Mar (68) | 09 Jun (160) | 92 | Medium | Average resistant | |
| 18003 T35 | HFP1 OP | 24 Jan (24) | 12 Mar (71) | 31 May (151) | 80 | Medium | Average resistant | |
| 18004 T4 | KU-PP1 OP | 21 Jan (21) | 12 Mar (71) | 25 Jun (176) | 105 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 18004 T11 | KU-PP1 OP | 14 Jan (14) | 03 Mar (62) | 17 Jun (168) | 106 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 18005 T15 | KU-PP1×KU-PP2 | 01 Feb (32) | 15 Mar (74) | 09 Jun (160) | 86 | Very dense | Average resistant | |
| 18005 T17 | KU-PP1×KU-PP2 | 27 Jan (27) | 12 Mar (71) | 21 Jun (172) | 101 | Medium | Average resistant | |
| 18005 T20 | KU-PP1× KU-PP2 | 21 Jan (21) | 06 Mar (65) | 09 Jun (160) | 95 | Medium | Average resistant | |

^z Values in parentheses indicate the Julian date. ^Y Fruit development period (FDP) was computed as the number of days from flower blooming to fruit harvest.

Table 2 Fruit qualities of selected seedlings, parents, and a commercial cultivar in Kagawa (Japan) from 2020 to 2021.

| Caracteria | Fruit | Fruit length | Fruit diameter (cm) | | TSS | Flesh | Flack fore- | Flesh-to-stone | D:4 are 1:44: |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|---------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| Genotypes | weight (g) | (cm) | Suture | Cheek | (°Brix) | firmness (N) | Flesh type | adherence | rit-splitting |
| Commercial cultivar | | | | | | | | | |
| 'Hikawa Hakuho' | 180.39 | 6.94 | 6.71 | 7.10 | 11.9 | 0.46 | Melting | Clingstone | None |
| Parents | | | | | | | | | |
| 'KU-PP1' | 112.07 | 5.35 | 5.86 | 6.29 | 12.3 | 0.57 | Melting | Clingstone | 20% |
| 'KU-PP2' | 131.31 | 5.60 | 6.32 | 6.36 | 13.8 | 0.30 | Melting | Clingstone | 80% |
| HFP1 | 165.78 | 5.71 | 6.98 | 7.14 | 11.6 | 0.35 | Melting | Clingstone | 20% |
| Flordaglo × Hikawa Hakuho | 183.27 | 6.48 | 6.80 | 7.34 | 10.1 | 0.31 | Melting | Clingstone | 100% |
| Selected genotypes | | | | | | | | | |
| 17003 T3 | 107.77 | 5.30 | 5.09 | 6.05 | 11.7 | 0.34 | Melting | Semi-freestone | 40% |
| 17003 T5 | 90.53 | 4.99 | 5.45 | 5.59 | 12.7 | 0.32 | Melting | Semi-freestone | None |
| 17003 T6 | 68.32 | 4.80 | 4.75 | 5.26 | 10.8 | 0.39 | Melting | Freestone | 40% |
| 17004 T5 | 55.24 | 4.08 | 4.68 | 4.92 | 14.1 | 0.35 | Melting | Clingstone | 20% |
| 17004 T14 | 38.98 | 3.71 | 4.00 | 4.27 | 12.6 | 0.51 | Melting | Clingstone | 20% |
| 17004 T17 | 81.51 | 4.72 | 5.25 | 5.73 | 10.2 | 0.55 | Melting | Semi-freestone | None |
| 17004 T18 | 65.62 | 4.24 | 4.89 | 5.33 | 19.0 | 0.82 | Melting | Semi-freestone | None |
| 17004 T21 | 67.03 | 4.86 | 4.62 | 5.13 | 14.6 | 0.36 | Melting | Semi-freestone | None |
| 17005 T4 | 111.42 | 5.94 | 5.93 | 6.08 | 14.3 | 0.27 | Melting | Semi-freestone | None |
| 17005 T8 | 58.04 | 4.59 | 4.58 | 4.83 | 12.2 | 0.40 | Melting | Clingstone | 40% |
| 17006 T5 | 87.97 | 5.39 | 5.12 | 5.77 | 12.2 | 0.41 | Melting | Clingstone | 100% |
| 18003 T15 | 83.65 | 4.56 | 5.48 | 5.73 | 16.1 | 0.50 | Melting | Clingstone | 40% |
| 18003 T31 | 69.34 | 4.42 | 5.10 | 5.17 | 15.3 | 0.44 | Melting | Clingstone | 20% |
| 18003 T35 | 64.00 | 4.53 | 4.87 | 5.00 | 16.3 | 0.40 | Melting | Clingstone | None |
| 18004 T4 | 98.26 | 5.36 | 5.60 | 5.56 | 15.4 | 0.68 | Melting | Freestone | 50% |
| 18004 T11 | 58.68 | 4.26 | 4.68 | 4.87 | 17.6 | 0.52 | Melting | Clingstone | None |
| 18005 T15 | 44.04 | 4.14 | 4.41 | 4.55 | 17.4 | 0.35 | Melting | Clingstone | None |
| 18005 T17 | 64.44 | 4.60 | 4.84 | 5.00 | 14.2 | 0.33 | Melting | Freestone | None |
| 18005 T20 | 58.39 | 4.07 | 4.68 | 5.07 | 21.7 | 0.39 | Melting | Clingstone | None |

Table 3 Fruit appearance of selected seedlings, parents, and a commercial cultivar in Kagawa (Japan) from 2020 to 2021.

| Genotypes | Flesh colour | Fruit shape | Tip | Ground colour | Over colour | Extent over colour | Pattern cover skin colour |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Commercial cultivar | | | | | | | |
| 'Hikawa Hakuho' | Cream white | Ovate | No tip | Greenish-white | Pink red | 30-45% | Solid |
| Parents | | | | | | | |
| 'KU-PP1' | Snow white | Round | No tip | Pink white | Medium red | 75–90% | Striped |
| 'KU-PP2' | Yelllow | Round | No tip | Light yellow | Light red | 15–30% | Solid |
| HFP1 | Snow white | Oblate | No tip | Cream-white | Medium red | 75–90% | Striped |
| Flordaglo × Hikawa Hakuho | Snow white | Round | No tip | Cream-green | Pink red | 75–90% | Solid |
| Selected genotypes | | | | | | | |
| 17003 T3 | Yellow | Round | No tip | Orange yellow | Dark red | 90–100% | Marbled |
| 17003 T5 | Yellow | Round | No tip | Orange yellow | Light red | 30-45% | Solid |
| 17003 T6 | Orange yellow | Oblate | No tip | Orange yellow | Medium red | 30-45% | Marbled |
| 17004 T5 | Cream white | Oblate | No tip | Cream green | Medium red | 45-60% | Marbled |
| 17004 T14 | Greenish-white | Oblate | No tip | Greenish-white | Medium red | 75–90% | Marbled |
| 17004 T17 | Snow white | Oblate | No tip | Greenish-yellow | Medium red | 60–75% | Striped |
| 17004 T18 | Yellow | Oblate | No tip | Orange yellow | Medium red | 60–75% | Solid |
| 17004 T21 | Greenish-white | Round | No tip | Cream green | Medium red | 30-45% | Solid |
| 17005 T4 | Cream white | Round | No tip | Cream white | Medium red | 30-45% | Striped |
| 17005 T8 | Snow white | Round | No tip | Greenish-yellow | Medium red | 30-45% | Marbled |
| 17006 T5 | Yellow | Oblate | No tip | Greenish-yellow | Medium red | 10–15% | Solid |
| 18003 T15 | Orange yellow | Oblate | No tip | Orange yellow | Dark red | 75–90% | Striped |
| 18003 T31 | Orange yellow | Oblate | No tip | Orange yellow | Dark red | 75–90% | Solid |
| 18003 T35 | Snow white | Round | No tip | Cream white | Dark red | 60–75% | Marbled |
| 18004 T4 | Greenish-white | Round | No tip | Greenish-yellow | Medium red | 30-45% | Mottled |
| 18004 T11 | Light yellow | Round | No tip | Orange yellow | Blackish-red | 90–100% | Solid |
| 18005 T15 | Cream white | Round | No tip | Cream white | Medium red | 30-45% | Solid |
| 18005 T17 | Cream white | Round | No tip | Greenish-yellow | Dark red | 75–90% | Solid |
| 18005 T20 | Snow white | Oblate | No tip | Cream white | Medium red | 30-45% | Marbled |

2. Optimization of growth temperature for growing 'KU-PP2', a low-chill peach in protected cultivation

Under forcing conditions, spring phenology, fruit development, and plant productivity are mainly driven by temperature. The appropriate temperature for each plant development stage could enhance plant growth, maximize the yield potential, avoid unnecessary energy usage, and keep cost-effective for forcing culture system. Additionally, the air temperature manipulation during dormancy releasing to harvesting can hasten fruit maturation. Dela Bruna (2007), who investigated the fruit development of peaches in subtropical regions, reported that elevated temperatures accelerated progress from budburst to fruit maturation due to a shorter time in initial phases of fruit development. Temperature influences not only the time of fruit maturity but also the fruit growth rate (Adams et al., 2001). Warrington et al. (1999) found the positive relationship between temperature and rate of fruit expansion in apple. The apple fruit expansion rate increased to approximately ten times when the temperature had been raised from 6°C to 20°C.

Even though the optimum temperatures stimulate spring phenological events, enhance fruit development, and accelerate fruit ripening, excessive-high temperatures might have the opposite effect to plant development and fruit production through retarded the growth of reproductive organs or disrupting normal plant functions such as carbon assimilation, respiration, fertilization, and cell differentiation (Cui et al., 2006; Efeoglu and Terzioglu, 2009; Lin-Wang, 2011; Hao et al., 2019). However, the effect of high temperature on plants differs depending on the duration of exposure and the stages of plant development. During budburst and flowering, the temperature at 20°C and above suppressed the embryo sac development, diminish the pollen viability and interrupt fertilization; consequently, the fruit set rate is poor (Erez, 2000; Kozai et. al., 2004; Hatfield et al., 2011). Hasanuzzaman et. al. (2013) indicated that chlorophyll contents and the chlorophyll a/b ratio in soybeans grown under high temperature (38/28°C) decreased approximately 5% and 18%, respectively. As well, continuing high-temperature exposure can cause slowing of plant growth and inducing an imbalance in carbohydrate metabolism between photosynthesis and respiration, resulting in insufficient carbohydrate supply to subsidize plant development, leading to yield loss, and possibly plant death (Hall, 1992; Wahid et al., 2007). In apple (*Malus domestica*), the elevated temperature was associated with a reduction in phytochemical components and softening of fruit flesh (Sugiura et. al., 2003).

This study aimed to demonstrate the cultivation of low-chill peach cultivars under forcing conditions for producing extreme early season. Obviously, plant development and fruit productivity under forcing conditions are directly and indirectly affected by atmospheric temperatures around the plants. The temperature in the greenhouse or plastic house is regulated to maximize fruit production. However, the plant response to the ambient temperature depends on their cultivars and plant development stage. Knowledge of the relationship between forcing temperatures and the growth performance at each development stage of plants is the key to success in growing them under forcing conditions and is useful to establish suitable management practices for maximum productivity. Thereby, three experiments were conducted in this study to clarify the effects of temperature on morpho-anatomy and physiology of the low-chill peach under controlled temperature conditions and to compare the ability of the plastic house with and without a heating system for hastening peach harvest season. 'KU-PP2', a low-chill peach cultivar, and the plastic house with heating and ventilation systems were used in this investigation as a model plant and forcing culture archetype, respectively. A better understanding of the low-chill peach response to growth temperature could be utilized to design a forcing program and cultural practices management to amplify the yield potential and optimize profitability.

During budburst and flowering, our results indicated that prolonged chilling exposure and higher forcing temperatures hastened bud burst and flowering (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2), as well as increased the level and uniformity of bud break in the 'KU-PP2' peach cultivar. However, inadequate chilling exposure and excessive forcing temperatures negatively affected dormancy-breaking, flower development, anthesis, and fruit set in 'KU-PP2'.

Budburst and flowering were significantly retarded by insufficient chilling and elevated forcing temperatures of 20–25°C used in this study. During fruit development, the high-temperature conditions enhanced fruit growth in early fruit development stages (S1 and S2; Table 5), hastened the harvesting period (Table 5), and stimulated red coloration of the fruit peel (Fig. 5); however, such conditions decreased leaf size and thickness (Table 4 and Fig. 3), chlorophyll contents (Fig. 4), carbon assimilation rate. Moreover, high temperature retarded late fruit development (S3 stage; Table 5) and negatively affected fruit quality.

Finally, the growing of a low-chill peach in plastic houses with and without a heating system were demonstrated. The plastic houses used for this purpose were 6 m wide, 12 m long, and 3.5 m high with an area of 72 m². The results show that the forcing conditions accelerated the spring phenology and harvest period of 'KU-PP2'. The bud bursting of 'KU-PP2' in the plastic house with a heating system occurred in mid-February, resulting in the acceleration blooming (late February) and fruit harvesting (mid-May), which were earlier than blooming and fruit harvest under natural conditions by 4 and 6 weeks, respectively. In the same way, the plastic house without a heating system hastened blooming, and harvesting by up to 3 and 4 weeks, respectively when compared with the open field conditions. Although the fruit growth pattern did not differ significantly between the forcing and natural conditions, the rate of fruit growth at stages S1 and S2 was higher in the plastic house with a heating system than that under the other assessed conditions. Additionally, the fruit size and quality of the trees in the plastic house were higher than those under open field condition. The forcing culture has been approved to be effective in preventing pit-splitting.

| Darrowsstores | Growth temperat | - n voluo | | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| rarameters | 20°C | 25°C | 30°C | <i>p</i> -value | |
| Leaf length (cm) | $16.9 \pm 0.3 a^{z}$ | $17.3\pm0.5~a$ | $12.9\pm0.7b$ | < 0.0001 | |
| Leaf width (cm) | $4.7\pm0.3\;a$ | $4.9\pm0.1~a$ | $3.5\pm0.5~b$ | 0.0153 | |
| Percentage of leaf dry matter (% FW) | $41.3\pm0.98b$ | $42.9\pm0.77~b$ | $52.1\pm0.54~a$ | < 0.0001 | |
| Stomatal density (no. mm ⁻²) | $239\pm9b$ | $267\pm8~a$ | $164 \pm 11 \text{ c}$ | < 0.0001 | |
| Leaf thickness (µm) | $60 \pm 2.1 \text{ a}$ | $43\pm1.7\ b$ | 36 ± 3.5 c | < 0.0001 | |
| Adaxial epidermis thickness (µm) | 6 ± 0.4 | 5 ± 0.3 | 6 ± 0.3 | 0.4571 | |
| Abaxial epidermis thickness (µm) | 4 ± 0.2 | 3 ± 0.4 | 4 ± 0.3 | 0.7468 | |
| Palisade mesophyll thickness (µm) | $27\pm0.9~a$ | $20\pm1.2~b$ | 14 ± 1.7 c | < 0.0001 | |
| Spongy mesophyll thickness (µm) | $23\pm0.4~a$ | $15\pm0.7~b$ | $12\pm0.6c$ | 0.0007 | |

Table 4 Leaf morphological and anatomical traits, percentage of leaf dry matter (DM), and stomatal density of the 'KU-PP2' peach cultivar throughout two consecutive year (2020 and 2021). The peach trees were grown under three growing temperature regimes (20°C, 25°C, and 30°C).

² Data are the average values \pm standard errors (n = 20). The different lower-case letters within the same row denote significant differences at $p \le 0.05$ (Tukey's HSD test)



Figure 1 The average accumulative of floral budburst (%) of 'KU-PP2' peach trees throughout the experiment in two consecutive seasons after chilling accumulation reached 250, 500, and 750 CH. And then the trees were treated to the different forcing temperature levels (15, 20, and 25°C). Budburst was observed every three days after the onset of forcing conditions.



Figure 2 The average accumulative of flower opening (%) of 'KU-PP2' peach trees throughout the experiment in two consecutive seasons after chilling accumulation reached 250, 500, and 750 CH. And then the trees were treated to the different forcing temperature levels (15, 20, and 25°C). Budburst was observed every three days after the onset of forcing conditions.



Figure 3 The microscopic images of leaf cross-section of 'KU-PP2' peach trees that were grown under (A) 20° C, (B) 25° C, and (C) 30° C. The cross-sections of leaf sample were studied and photographed by a light microscope at the end of experiment. Ep (ad) = adaxial epidermis; Pa = Palisade mesophyll layer; Sp = Spongy mesophyll layer Ep (ab) = abaxial epidermis. These pictures were taken on 15 November 2020.



Figure 4 Chlorophyll content in response to growth temperature treatments. Data expressed as means \pm standard error (n = 10). Different letters denote significant differences according to Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$) and ns indicates non-significant.

| Temperature | I | Development s | tage duration | Harvest date | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| regime | S1 | S2 | S3 | Total | 2020 | 2021 |
| 20°C | 36 a ^z | 30 a | 30 b | 96 a | 9 Jun (161) ^y | 21 June (172) |
| 25°C | 30 b | 24 b | 36 a | 90 b | 31 May (152) | 14 June (165) |
| 30°C | 24 c | 18 c | 36 a | 78 c | 24 May (145) | 4 June (155) |
| <i>p</i> -value | 0.0003 | < 0.0001 | 0.0063 | 0.002 | _ | _ |

Table 5 Fruit diameter expansion rate, harvest date, and fruit development period of the 'KU-PP2' fruits for each temperature regime in two consecutive years (2020 and 2021).

Data was log transformed before statistical analysis.

^z Data are expressed as mean values \pm standard errors (n = 20). Different lowercase letters within the same row denote significant differences ($p \le 0.05$; Tukey's test).

^y Harvest date was defined as the date of first harvest. Values in parentheses indicate Julian calendar harvest dates.



Figure 5 Effect of growth temperature on the colouration of the 'KU-PP2' fruits during the commercial ripening period.

Literature cited

- Adams, S.R., Cockshull, K.E., and Cave, C.R.J. (2001). Effect of temperature on the growth and development of tomato fruits. Annals of Botany. 88, 869–877. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.2001.1524
- Cruz, R.V., Harasawa, H., Lal, M., Wu, S. Anokhin, Y., Anokhin, B., Honda, Y., Jafari, M., Li, C., and Huu Ninh, N. (2007): Asia. Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Parry, M.L., O.F., Palutikof, J.P., van der Linden, P.J., Hanson, C.E. Eds., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 469–506.
- Cui, L.J., Li, J.L., Fan, Y.M., Xu, S., and Zhang, Z. (2006). High temperature effects on photosynthesis, PSII functionality and antioxidant activity of two *Festuca arundinacea* cultivars with different heat susceptibility. Botanical Studies. 47, 61–69.
- Dela Bruna, E. (2007). Growth curve of peach fruits in subtropical environment. Revista Brasileira De Fruticultura. 29, 685–689. (In Portuguese with English abstract).
- Efeoglu, B., and Terzioglu, S. (2009). Photosynthetic responses of two wheat varieties to high temperature. Eurasian Journal of BioSciences. 3, 97–106.
- Erez, A. (2000). Bud dormancy; phenomenon, problems and solutions in the tropics and subtropics. In: Temperate Fruit Crops in Warm Climates; spring: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 17–48.
- Hall, A.E. (1992). Breeding for heat tolerance. Plant Breeding Reviews. 10, 129–186. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470650011.ch5
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K., Alam, M.Md., Roychowdhury, R., and Fujita, M. (2013). Physiological, biochemical, and molecular mechanisms of heat tolerance in plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 14, 9643–9684. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14059643
- Hatfield, J.L., Boote, K.J., Kimball, B.A., Ziska, L.H., Izaurralde, R.C., Ort, D., Thomson, A.M., and Wolfe, D.W. (2011). Climate impacts on agriculture: implications for crop production. Agronomy Journal. 103, 351–370. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2010.0303
- Hao, L., Guo, L., Li, R., Cheng, Y., Huang, L., Zhou, H., Xu, M., Li, F., Zhang, X., and Zheng, Y., (2019). Responses of photosynthesis to high temperature stress associated with changes in leaf structure and biochemistry of blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.). Scientia Horticulturae. 246, 251–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.11.007
- Kozai, N., Beppu, K., Mochioka, R., Boonprokob, U., Subhadrabandhu, S., and Kataoka, I. (2004). Adverse effect of high temperature on the development of reproductive organs in 'Hakuho' peach trees. The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology. 79, 533–537. https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2004.11511801
- Lin-Wang, K. (2011). High temperature reduces apple fruit colour via modulation of the anthocyanin regulatory complex. Plant, Cell and Environment. 34, 1176–1190. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02316.x

- Parker, L.E., and Abatzoglou, J.T. (2019). Warming winter reduce chill accumulation for peach production in the southeastern United States. Climate. 7, 94. https://doi.org/10.3390/cli7080094
- Topp. B.L., Sherman, W.B., and Raseira, M.C.B. (2008). Low-chill cultivar development. In: The peach botany, production and uses. AMA Dataset Ltd., UK, pp. 106–138.
- Wahid, A., Gelani, S., Ashrf, M., and Foolad, M.R. (2007). Heat tolerance in plants: an overview. En vironmental and Experimental Botany. 61, 199–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2007.05.011
- Warrington, I.J., Fulton, T.A., Halligan, E.A., and de Silva, H.N. (1999). Apple fruit growth and matu rity are affected by early season temperatures. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Sci ence. 124, 468–477. https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.124.5.468